

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/707,084	11/20/2003	JINN-KONG SHEU	10722-US-PA	1083	
31561	7590 06/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			ERDEM, FAZLI		
7 FLOOR-1, 1 ROOSEVELT	NO. 100 ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI, 100	•		2826		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005	05	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/707,084	SHEU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fazli Erdem	2826			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF T	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the critical apply and will expire SIX (6) Mestatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 March 2005.				
· <u> </u>	-	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,10-12,14-17 and 21 is/are rejected.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	(d).		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butter the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s) .					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si r No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 6-9, 13 and 18-20 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Prior art failed to establish the required nucleation layer and the required finger shaped protrusions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 10-12, 14-17 and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onishi (2003/0146444) in view of Ando (6,429,467) further in view of Ishikawa et al. (5,977,565) further in view of Parikh et al. (2003/0015708)

Regarding Claims 1-5, 10-12, 14-17 and 21, Onishi discloses a group III-V compound semiconductor and group III-V compound semiconductor device using the same where in Fig. 19, and claims 10, 13, 15 and 16, it is disclosed a first GaN-based semiconductor layer with striped shaped protrusion and a GaN-based buffer layer that is provided at a foot of the protrusion and on the side surface of the protrusion, first contact layer formed on the second semiconductor layer and a second contact layer formed on the

Art Unit: 2826

first contact layer. Onishi fails to disclose the required formula for the semiconductor layer, required electrode structure and furthermore fails to specifically specify SBD type photodetector. However, Ando discloses a heterojunction field effect transistor where in claims 2 and 3 the required formula is disclosed. Furthermore, Ishikawa et al. disclose a semiconductor light emitting diode having capacitor where in Fig. 5 and claims 1 and 5, the required electrode configuration is disclosed. Finally, Parikh et al. disclose a gallium nitride based diodes with low forward voltage and low reverse current operation where in Figs. 1 and 5 the required SBD type photodetecting device is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required formula, the required electrode configuration and SBD type photodetecting device in Onishi as taught by Ando,

Ishikawa et al. and Parikh et al. respectively, in order to have a GaN based semiconductor light emitting device with higher performance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,084 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE May 27, 2005

> NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800